



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/733,405	12/08/2000	Brian Pollock	S01.12-0643/STL 9408	1870
7590 01/11/2005			EXAMINER	
Brian D. Kaul			SNIEZEK, ANDREW L	
Westman, Char	mplin & Kelly			
Suite 1600, Inte	ernational Centre	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 Second Avenue South			2651	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/733,405	POLLOCK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew L. Sniezek	2651				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comn	nunication.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	S July 2004.					
		his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			nerits is			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>6-9,11-26</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 11-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) 🗌	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t		* *				
11) 🗌 -	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <u></u> / a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	age			
Attachment	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Infor 6) Other:	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 09/733,405

Art Unit: 2651

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office.

- 2. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akagi et al. The specifics of this rejection are incorporated herein from paragraph 4 of the office action mailed 10/07/03. Additionally claims 11 and 15 have been amended to include "discrete compensation values provided for each real track". These features are satisfied by column 5, lines 43-52 in which deviations at each servo sector are stored and are used when the head controlled (positioned) as described in column 4, lines 17-41.
- 3. Claims 6-8, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hasegawa et al.

The specifics of this rejection are incorporated herein from paragraph 3 of the office action mailed 4/6/04

Claim Objections

4. Claims 23 and 24 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 23-24 depend from claim 1 which has been cancelled. Examiner will consider these claims to depend on claim 22 in any art rejections.

Application/Control Number: 09/733,405 Page 3

Art Unit: 2651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. in view of applicants admitted prior art as disclosed under the background of the invention of the present application.

The specifics of this rejection are incorporated herein from paragraph 6 of the office action mailed 4/6/04.

7. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasegawa et al. in view of Kroiss (4,371,960)

Hasegawa et al. teaches a servo control loop (figure 7) that is responsive to a reference signal (figure 19, TARGET input) comprising a servo controller (61), an actuator (VCM), a transducer (13) and a compensation circuitry (40, 41, 50) which operates as claimed. The claimed demodulator as set forth although not specifically taught by Hasegawa et al. is well known in the art to convert a raw signal into a signal useable for servo control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such a demodulator as taught by Kroiss in the device of Hasegawa et al. to allow for proper servo control. As seen from figure 7, the compensation signal output by unit (50) is added/subtracted from output signal from unit (20), satisfying the limitations of claims 22 and 23.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 25-26 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2651

- 9. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claimed method as set forth in claim 25 and claim 9/6 that identifies each virtual track of each disk bases upon their position relative to the reference position to enable the drive to operate in a cylinder mode is neither taught by nor an obvious variation of the art of record.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Concerning claims 11-15 see corresponding rejection. Concerning claims 6-9 and 16-20; it is clear from column 13 of Hasegawa et al. that the compensation equation is only an example of one equation for one track (track 8) of a given disk and that from the overall reading of this reference plural compensation equations exists, see for example only figure 13A and limitations of the claims which discusses plural disks and plural paths.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2651

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Sniezek whose telephone number is 703-308-1602. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh N Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2651

A.L.S. 01/10/05